

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003

APPLICATION NO.	N NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		1	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/665,694	09/20/2000	Takuya Matsumoto	kuya Matsumoto 500.39093X00		5603		
20457	7590 06/04/2003						
ANTONELLI TERRY STOUT AND KRAUS				EXAMINER			
SUITE 1800 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET ARLINGTON, VA 22209				PUNNOOSE, ROY M			
ARLINGTO	VA 22209			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		,	,	2877			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	120.				
Office Action Summary		09/665,694		MATSUMOTO ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Roy M. Pur	nnonee.	2877					
	Th MAILING DATE of this communication app				ress				
Period fo	r Reply								
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLINATION DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no ever ly within the statul will apply and will e. cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tin ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from action to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this continued (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.				
Status	Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
1)∐ 2a)⊟	·	· his action is i	non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow			rosecution as to the	merits is				
, —	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Qเ	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.					
•	on of Claims	_							
4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	iwn from Con	sideration.						
, <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
, —	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	alastian rag	iromont						
-	Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	election req	ullement.						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
, —	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		objected to by the Exa	ıminer.					
, _	Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).					
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
* (	-3: — Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Besee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage				
14) [ <i>A</i>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	itic priority ur	ider 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional	application).				
15) 🗌 .	) $\square$ The translation of the foreign language pracknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	rovisional ap stic priority u	plication has been re nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 12	ceived. 0 and/or 121.					
Attachmer									
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·		ry (PTO-413) Paper No( Patent Application (PTC					
U.S. Patent and	rademark Office	Action Summa	n,	Part of Paner No. 7					

Application/Control Number: 09/665,694

Art Unit: 2877

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-20, drawn to a near-field optical probe, classified in class 356, subclass
     601.
  - II. Claims 21-23, drawn to near-field optical microscope, classified in class 359, subclass 368.
  - III. Claims 24-28, drawn to an optical reading/recording device, classified in class 369, subclass 47.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: near-field optical probe.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-20 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

Application/Control Number: 09/665,694

Art Unit: 2877

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Examiner Roy M. Punnoose** whose telephone number is **703-306-9145**. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/665,694

Art Unit: 2877

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-0530.

Roy M. Punnoose

Patent Examiner Art Unit 2877 June 02, 2003